

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/745,978	12/22/2000	Mohanasundaram Chinnappan	020431.0753	8477		
53184 52 TECHNOLO	7590 01/05/2007 OGIES US, INC.		EXAMINER			
ONE i2 PLAC	E, 11701 LUNA ROAD		ZURITA, JAMES H			
DALLAS, TX 75234			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3625			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/05/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/745,978	CHINNAPPAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
James H. Zurita	3625

Bololo die i milg of all Appeal Bile	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	James H. Zurita	3625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
 THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aband idavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CFF	e, which R 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 106.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FILE 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office	ED WITHIN extension fee e extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NO bw); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying the	•
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-28. 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : 35 USC 112. Illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment	canceling the
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is n	ecessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessario. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet. 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. So n of the status of the claims after e	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). ntry is below or attached	to provide a
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) J. Junto Primay on a	nume/	
	1 mmay on o		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: request for reconsideration is not persuasive; page 12 is wrong: claims 7-10, 16-19, 25-28 were not amended in the After Final amendment; rejection of claims is not based on Khan and Official Notice; it is based on Khan and now admitted prior art - applicant has dropped his request for documentary evidence; there is no mention of how general comments concerning 103 legal standards, prima facie case, (page 19-21) apply or do not apply to the claime rejections in the instant application; In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Janus Zunte Comany Examine